

# **ADDENDUM #1 TO RFP CB 22-001 CCB RACQUET COURT REBUILD**

January 4, 2022

To All Prospective Proposers:

The City of Cocoa Beach hereby issues this Addendum to the above-referenced solicitation:

1) **Question:** Just read through the bid documents and it states re-construction of tennis courts with a fiberglass membrane.

Fiberglass membrane are never installed on brand new courts only on very old courts that have excessive cracking.

All you need is rock base, asphalt, 2 Coats of Acrylic Resurfacer 2 Coats of Color Coating lines and repair of low spots on new asphalt with new footers/equipment.

Do we bid it with the additional 3<sup>rd</sup> coat of Acrylic Resurfacer and fiberglass even though its' not needed? Will bring your price up quite a bit!

Also on the shade structures any specific brand or specifications?

**Answer:** After further consideration, the City has decided **NOT** to apply the fiberglass membrane over the brand new courts. Please do not include it in your bid.

Other than the dimensions of the shade structures stated in the RFP, there are no other required specifications for the shade structures.

2) **Question:** Which exact tennis courts?

**Answer:** Right side, in the back

3) **Question:** RFP shows aluminum shelters, any particular brand or size?

**Answer:** No specific brand. Same size as current shelters, size is also stated in RFP

4) **Question:** When doing demolition of the courts and if we come into any other issues like water then what do we do?

**Answer:** We will create a change order to address the issue.

5) **Question:** Do you want temporary fencing put up since the other side will be open?

**Answer:** Yes, and if we need to, we can close the other side.

6) **Question:** Is the entry way by the access road?

**Answer:** Yes

7) **Question:** Will the questions period be held to? Can questions be turned in past the due date?

**Answer:** The due date for questions is final.

8) **Question:** Does this project have liquidated damages?

**Answer:** No

9) **Question:** Does this project have allowances?

**Answer:** No

10) **Question:** One of your evaluation criteria is Evaluation of Sample Products ---5 points Can you clarify what do you mean by that? are samples required to be submitted with the bid?

**Answer:** Samples are not required. For a project like this we would be looking for products used on similar projects.

11) **Question:** Confirmation if performance bond will be required. RFP mentions a performance bond, but advertisement says no performance bond is required.

**Answer:** Advertisement is incorrect. In accordance with the RFP:

**BID BOND, PERFORMANCE BOND, AND PAYMENT BOND**

No Bid Bond is required. Performance bond pursuant to 255.05, FL. Stat. in the amount of the total bid shall be required.

12) **Question:** Confirmation that City will be responsible for providing access to courts. The existing swale will need to be filled with stone for vehicles and equipment to cross.

**Answer:** The City will construct a crossing over the existing swale at no cost to the contractor

13) **Question:** Will City accept other coating brands (Acrytech, California Sports Products, Laykold)? Tropiccoat is a propriety brand offered by only one company.

**Answer:** Yes, the City will accept other coating brands

14) **Question:** Will City accept other brands for net posts and nets?

**Answer:** Yes, the City will accept other brands for net posts and nets

15) **Question:** It is not recommended to apply fiberglass membrane overlays over new asphalt. It is designed to for use on courts with existing cracks. The fiberglass membrane can delaminate over time creating dead spots in the court. As such, it is not recommended on new courts where the fiberglass can cause more issues than it solves on brand new asphalt. We would never recommend this on new courts we build, please advise if you want us to include this.

**Answer:** After further consideration, the City has decided **NOT** to apply the fiberglass membrane over the brand new courts. Please do not include it in your bid.

16) **Question:** RFP states that remaining courts are to be used during construction. However, the four courts south of the reconstructed courts are not going to be feasibly used during construction. Though we will not access or cross the courts, balls would inevitably cross into the construction site even with construction fencing. There would still be other courts available on the west side of the facility. We want to ensure the City has a realistic expectation on access to the courts during construction.

**Answer:** This is understood and the City is prepared to shut down the courts south of construction.

**IMPORTANT: Please be sure to sign at the designated location at the end of this addendum, certifying receipt of this addendum, AND include a copy of the signed addendum in your proposal. Failure to include this signed confirmation of receipt may disqualify you/your firm from further consideration.**

**~ CERTIFICATION OF RECEIPT OF ADDENDUM ~**

***(This signed addendum MUST be included in your/your firm's proposal.)***

I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I/my firm have/has received of copy of **RFP CB 22-001 Addendum #1**, issued on **January 4, 2022**, by the City of Cocoa Beach.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Authorized Representative

\_\_\_\_\_  
Individual/Firm Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
Printed Name of Authorized Representative

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

